## **METHODOLOGY**



**Open Access** 

# Protocol: a rapid and economical procedure for purification of plasmid or plant DNA with diverse applications in plant biology

Jian-Feng Li<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Li Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Jen Sheen<sup>1,2</sup>

### Abstract

Research in plant molecular biology involves DNA purification on a daily basis. Although different commercial kits enable convenient extraction of high-quality DNA from E. coli cells, PCR and agarose gel samples as well as plant tissues, each kit is designed for a particular type of DNA extraction work, and the cost of purchasing these kits over a long run can be considerable. Furthermore, a simple method for the isolation of binary plasmid from Agrobacterium tumefaciens cells with satisfactory yield is lacking. Here we describe an easy protocol using homemade silicon dioxide matrix and seven simple solutions for DNA extraction from E. coli and A. tumefaciens cells, PCR and restriction digests, agarose gel slices, and plant tissues. Compared with the commercial kits, this protocol allows rapid DNA purification from diverse sources with comparable yield and purity at negligible cost. Following this protocol, we have demonstrated: (1) DNA fragments as small as a MYC-epitope tag coding sequence can be successfully recovered from an agarose gel slice; (2) Miniprep DNA from E. coli can be eluted with as little as 5 µl water, leading to high DNA concentrations (>1  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l) for efficient biolistic bombardment of *Arabidopsis* seedlings, polyethylene glycol (PEG)-mediated Arabidopsis protoplast transfection and maize protoplast electroporation; (3) Binary plasmid DNA prepared from A. tumefaciens is suitable for verification by restriction analysis without the need for large scale propagation; (4) High-quality genomic DNA is readily isolated from several plant species including Arabidopsis, tobacco and maize. Thus, the silicon dioxide matrix-based DNA purification protocol offers an easy, efficient and economical way to extract DNA for various purposes in plant research.

### Introduction

DNA extraction is a routine procedure in most plant laboratories. Molecular cloning involves DNA purification from *E. coli*, from PCR and restriction digestion mixtures, and from agarose gel slices containing DNA fragments. Genomic DNA often needs to be extracted from plant tissues to facilitate subsequent PCR, sequencing or DNA blot analysis. Although these DNA extractions can be accomplished using commercial kits, each kit is only designed for single-purpose DNA extraction and the cost of purchasing multiple kits can represent a significant research cost. Thus, there is a strong need for a simple and inexpensive protocol that could be adapted to the extraction and purification of DNA from diverse sources.

In plant research, transgenic plants are usually generated using an Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation procedure, where the bacteria carry an engineered binary plasmid harboring the gene of interest for integration into the plant genome. It is important to isolate the binary plasmid from A. tumefaciens cells to verify the correct construct prior to plant transformation since the latter is a relatively lengthy process. However, the extraction of the binary plasmid from A. tumefaciens is notoriously tricky due to the low plasmid copy number in Agrobacterium and the recalcitrance of the bacteria strain to cell lysis [1]. To solve these problems, lysozyme is often added to the cell lysis solution, while the isolated DNA is usually re-transformed into E. coli to propagate before subsequent restriction digestion verification [2]. A simple and reliable protocol for Agrobacterium plasmid purification has not been reported.

In an attempt to develop a simple DNA purification method that could meet diverse research needs, we



© 2010 Li et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: jli@molbio.mgh.harvard.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

explored the silica-based technique which relies on the ability of DNA to bind to silica particles in the presence of chaotropic salt [3]. By using the cheap chemical compound silicon dioxide as a DNA binding matrix, we have been able to develop individual DNA purification sub-protocols for plasmid miniprep from *E. coli* or *A. tumefaciens*, extraction of DNA fragments from PCR mixtures, restriction digests or agarose gels, and extraction of genomic DNA from plant tissues. During our trial, we have extensively simplified and streamlined these sub-protocols to optimize time and labor efficiency, as well as minimize the effective chemicals to achieve maximal long-term saving without sacrificing the quality of DNA products.

### Materials and methods

### **Bacterial strain**

*E. coli* strain TOP10 or MC1061 and *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 were used in this study.

### Plant growth

*Arabidopsis* was grown in a cycle of 12 h light/23°C followed by 12 h dark/20°C. Tobacco was grown in a cycle of 16 h light/28°C followed by 8 h dark/24°C. Greening maize seedlings were grown at 25°C.

### **Plasmid construction**

Standard molecular cloning protocols were followed for PCR and plasmid construction. AtHXK1 and AtWRKY29 with introns were amplified by PCR from Arabidopsis genomic DNA using the following primers: *AtHXK1*, forward: CGAGCTAGCATGGGTAA AGTAGCTGTTG GA and reverse: CGAGGATCCAGAGTCTTCAAGG-TAGAGAGA; AtWRKY29, forward: CGAGCTAG-CATGGACGAAGGAGACCTAGAA and reverse: CGAGGATCCGTAATTCCATAAATACCCACT. The PCR product of AtWRKY29 was digested with NheI/ BamHI and inserted between the NheI/BamHI sites of pAN-GFP vector to obtain the 35S-WRKY29g-GFP plasmid. A 40-bp DNA fragment containing the MYC-tag (EQKLISEEDL) coding sequence flanked by BamHI/ NotI sites was then inserted between the BglII/NotI sites of the 35S-WRKY29g-GFP plasmid to achieve the 35S-WRKY29g-GFP-MYC plasmid.

### Transient gene expression and microscopic examination

Particle bombardment and fluorescent imaging were carried out according to the procedure described previously [4]. *Arabidopsis* and maize protoplast transfection was performed as described earlier [5,6].

### Protocol

All the procedures were carried out at room temperature unless otherwise stated. The use of different solutions is summarized in Figure 1 and their recipes are listed in Table 1.

### Preparation of silica matrix

The procedure to prepare the size-fractionated silica particles was modified from the previous study [7]. Briefly, 5 g silicon dioxide (Sigma, S5631) was mixed with 50 ml sterile water in a 50 ml Falcon tube and settled for 2 h. The supernatant, containing fine silica particles with sizes below ca. 1  $\mu$ m, was removed and the pellet was resuspended in 50 ml sterile water and re-settled for another 2 h. After discarding the supernatant, the packed silica was resuspended in 50 ml sterile water to make a final concentration of approximately 100 mg/ml. One mg of the silicon dioxide is able to bind 3-4.5  $\mu$ g DNA [7]. The slurry can be stored at room temperature and will be stable for at least 12 months.

## Sub-protocol 1 (For plasmid miniprep from *E. coli* or *A. tumefaciens*)

- 1. Pellet the cells of 2 ml *E. coli* overnight culture (OD600 = 2.0) growing at 37°C or *A. tumefaciens* overnight culture (OD600 = 2.0) growing at 28°C by centrifugation at 16,000 g for 30 sec.
- 2. Resuspend the cell pellet in 100  $\mu$ l Resuspension solution (Solution A) by brief vortexing.
- 3. Add 100  $\mu$ l Alkaline lysis solution (Solution B) and invert the microfuge tube for several times.
- 4. Let the tube sit for 2 min for *E. coli* or 5 min for *A. tumefaciens* at room temperature.
- 5. Add 100  $\mu$ l Neutralization solution (Solution C) and invert the tube for a few times.
- 6. Centrifuge at 16,000 g for 5 min and transfer the supernatant to a fresh tube containing 500  $\mu$ l 6 M NaI solution (Solution D) and mix well by inverting the tube.
- 7. Add 20  $\mu l$  silica matrix, mix well and let the tube sit at room temperature for 2 min.

NOTE: Extending the incubation time to 5 min could slightly increase the yield.

- 8. Pellet the matrix by centrifugation for 10 sec at 16,000 g. Pour off the supernatant and gently tap the inverted tube against a Kimwipe to drain the liquid.
- 9. Wash the matrix by resuspending with 500 μl Washing solution (Solution E) and vigorously vortexing.
- 10. Repeat step 8 and 9.
- 11. Pellet the matrix by centrifugation for 10 sec at 16,000 g and remove the supernatant by pipetting.
- 12. Centrifuge for another 10 sec and carefully pipette off the residual liquid.
- 13. Add 40  $\mu$ l sterile water to resuspend the pellet by brief vortexing and place the microfuge tube at 70°C for 2 min.



14. Centrifuge at 16,000 g for 2 min and transfer 36-38  $\mu$ l supernatant containing the eluted plasmid DNA to a fresh tube.

NOTE: The remaining 2-4  $\mu$ l liquid should be abandoned due to a slight contamination by disturbed silica particles. For protection against DNase digestion or pH fluctuations, TE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH8.0, 1 mM EDTA) should be used to elute DNA.

## Sub-protocol 2 (For DNA purification from solution or agarose gel slice)

1. Add 150  $\mu$ l 6 M NaI (Solution D) to up to 50  $\mu$ l PCR or restriction digestion mixture and mix well by inverting the tube. In case of the agarose gel slice containing DNA fragments, add 300  $\mu$ l Solution D for every 100 mg gel slice and heat the

microfuge tube at 70°C for 3 min to dissolve the gel.

2. Add 10  $\mu$ l silica matrix to the blend, mix well and incubate for 2 min at room temperature.

NOTE: Extending the incubation time to 5 min could slightly increase DNA recovery rate.

- 3. Pellet the matrix by centrifugation for 10 sec at 16,000 g and remove the supernatant by pipetting.
- Wash the matrix in 500 μl Washing solution (Solution E) by vigorously vortexing.
- 5. Repeat step 3 and 4.
- 6. Pellet the matrix by centrifugation for 10 sec at 16,000 g and discard the supernatant.
- 7. Centrifuge for another 10 sec and pipette off the trace amount of liquid.

Solution Name	Solution Composition	Storage	Usage
Solution A (Resuspension solution)	50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 100 g/ml RNase A	4°C	Plasmid miniprep, genomic DNA extraction
Solution B (Alkaline lysis solution)	0.2 M NaOH, 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)	Room temp.	Plasmid miniprep
Solution C (Neutralization solution)	1.32 M KOAc, pH 4.8	Room temp.	Plasmid miniprep
Solution D (Nal solution)	6 M Nal	4°C	Plasmid miniprep, PCR/Gel purification, genomic DNA extraction
Solution E (Washing solution)	50% ethanol, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA	Room temp.	Plasmid miniprep, PCR/Gel purification, genomic DNA extraction
Solution F	10% SDS	Room temp.	Genomic DNA extraction
Solution G	Phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, v/v)	4°C	Genomic DNA extraction

Table 1 Seven solutions used in the silica protocol

Solution D is stored in darkness; Solution G is covered by water phase with  $\beta\text{-mercaptoethanol}$ 

- 8. Resuspend the matrix in 5-30  $\mu l$  sterile water and place the microfuge tube at 70°C for 2 min.
- 9. Centrifuge at 16,000 g for 2 min and transfer the DNA eluate into a fresh tube.

NOTE: For protection against DNase digestion or pH fluctuations, TE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH8.0, 1 mM EDTA) should be used to elute DNA.

### Sub-protocol 3 (For plant genomic DNA extraction)

- 1. Place approximately 10 mg of plant material in a 1.5 ml microfuge tube.
- 2. Add 200 µl Resuspension solution (Solution A) and grind the tissue using a Micro-Grinder homogenizer (Research Products International Corporation).
- 3. Add 30  $\mu$ l 10% SDS (Solution F) to the homogenate and invert the tube for several times.
- 4. Incubate the tube at 70°C for 10 min.
- 5. Add 250 μl Phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, v/v; Solution G) and vortex the mixture vigorously for 30 sec.
- 6. Centrifuge at 4°C at 16,000 g for 5 min and transfer the aqueous (upper) phase to a fresh tube containing 500  $\mu$ l 6 M NaI (Solution D) and mix well by inverting the tube.
- 7. Add 20  $\mu l$  silica matrix and let the tube sit at room temperature for 2 min.
- 8. Pellet the matrix by centrifugation at 16,000 g for 10 sec and remove the supernatant by pipetting.
- 9. Wash the matrix by resuspending with 1 ml Washing solution (Solution E) and vigorously vortexing.
- 10. Repeat step 8 and 9.

- 11. Pellet the matrix by centrifugation at 16,000 g for 10 sec and remove the supernatant using a pipette.
- 12. Centrifuge for another 10 sec and carefully pipette off the residual liquid.
- 13. Add 40  $\mu$ l sterile water to resuspend the pellet by brief vortexing and place the microfuge tube at 70°C for 2 min.
- 14. Centrifuge at 16,000 g for 2 min and transfer 36-38  $\mu$ l supernatant containing the eluted genomic DNA to a fresh tube.

NOTE: The remaining 2-4  $\mu$ l liquid should be abandoned due to a slight contamination by disturbed silica particles. For protection against DNase digestion or pH fluctuations, TE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH8.0, 1 mM EDTA) should be used to elute DNA.

### Comments

## Plant genomic DNA purification by the silicon dioxide matrix

Following Sub-protocol 3, high quality genomic DNA could be obtained from 7-day-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings (Table 2), 4-week-old *Arabidopsis* leaves (Figure 2A and Table 2), 7-day-old *Nicotiana benthamiana* seedlings (Figure 2B and Table 2) and 10-day-old maize leaves (Figure 2C and Table 2). The entire procedure from grinding tissue to eluting genomic DNA took around 35 min. Older plant tissues accumulate secondary metabolites and polysaccharides, which often contaminate the extracted genomic DNA due to co-precipitation with nucleic acids during ethanol/isopropanol precipitation [8]. Since only nucleic acids selectively bind to silicon dioxide, they are less likely to be a problem during



silica-mediated DNA purification. On the other hand, RNA contamination is completely removed by RNase A included in Resuspension solution (Solution A; Figure 2A, lane A6, Figure 2B and 2C). The *Arabidopsis* genomic DNA prepared in this way could be immediately used as PCR template (Figure 2A).

### PCR and gel purification by the silicon dioxide matrix

DNA in PCR mixtures or restriction digests could be easily purified by silica matrix according to Sub-protocol 2. The DNA recovery rate was estimated to be 70-80% depending on the size of the DNA fragment (Figure 2A, lane A2-5; Table 2). This procedure took about 8 min. We have also tried to purify PCR or restriction digestion products from agarose gel slice. In this case, a slightly reduced DNA recovery rate (i.e., 68%, Table 2) was obtained and an extra heating step was required to dissolve the agarose gel in NaI solution (Solution D), which would take two more minutes when compared with a direct DNA purification from solution.

Purification of small (< 50 bp) DNA fragments is rather challenging even when the commercial DNA purification kit is used. This is because short DNA tends to bind tightly to the column matrix and is difficult to elute, and is also difficult to precipitate during ethanol/ isopropanol precipitation. To test whether Sub-protocol

Material	DNA	Sub-protocol	Input	DNA production <sup>a</sup>	
				Yield	OD260/280
E. coli	35S-WRKY29g-GFP-MYC	1	2 ml culture OD600 = 2	6.2 ± 0.3 μg	1.91 ± 0.03
E. coli	pCB302	1	2 ml culture OD600 = 2	$2.6 \pm 0.4 \ \mu g$	1.93 ± 0.03
E. coli	pBI101	1	2 ml culture OD600 = 2	2.4 ± 0.3 µg	1.92 ± 0.02
E. coli	pPZP222	1	2 ml culture OD600 = 2	$4.7~\pm~0.4~\mu g$	1.88 ± 0.02
A. tumefaciens	VKH-NLS-YFP-GUS	1	2 ml culture OD600 = 2	0.4 ± 0.03 µg	1.95 ± 0.03
DNA solution	AtWRKY29 gPCR	2	1 µg DNA	$78 \pm 6\%^{b}$	$1.81 \pm 0.05$
Agarose gel	AtWRKY29 gPCR	2	0.5 µg DNA	$68 \pm 2\%^{b}$	1.85 ± 0.02
Arabidopsis $(s)^{c}$	genomic DNA	3	10 mg tissue	$1.7 \pm 0.1 \ \mu g$	$1.90 \pm 0.02$
Arabidopsis (I) <sup>d</sup>	genomic DNA	3	10 mg tissue	$1.1 \pm 0.1 \ \mu g$	1.93 ± 0.02
tobacco (s) <sup>e</sup>	genomic DNA	3	10 mg tissue	1.9 ± 0.2 μg	1.93 ± 0.01
maize (I) <sup>f</sup>	genomic DNA	3	10 mg tissue	1.0 ± 0.1 µg	1.88 ± 0.03

a. Qualities and quantities of DNA products were measured by NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and each DNA purification was repeated for at least 5 times.

b. DNA recovery rate (i.e., yield/input  $\times$  100%) is shown instead of DNA yield.

c. Severn-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings (s) were used

d. Four-week-old Arabidopsis leaves (I) were used

e. Seven-day-old tobacco seedlings (s) were used

f. Ten-day-old maize leaves (I) were used



2 is also suitable for purifying small DNA fragments from agarose gel slice, a 40-bp DNA fragment composed of the MYC-epitope tag coding sequence, BamHI and NotI sites was resolved in a 2.5% agarose gel and was purified from the gel slice using silica matrix. The DNA eluate was used in ligation with BgIII and NotI double digested 35S-WRKY29g-GFP plasmid leading to a successful insertion of the short DNA fragment into the plasmid (Figure 3). This result suggested that the silica protocol enabled the purification of short DNA fragments, which presumably benefited from the heating step during DNA elution that greatly facilitated the small DNA elution from the silica matrix.

## Alkaline lysis combined with silica matrix for plasmid miniprep from *E. coli*

Plasmid miniprep from 2 ml E. coli cells following Sub-protocol 1 takes approximately 15 min to obtain DNA. The yield of 35S-WRKY29g-GFP-MYC, a 6 kb high copy number plasmid, was about 6.2 µg (Table 2). To evaluate the miniprep yield of low copy number or larger plasmids by the silica protocol, several binary vectors frequently used in plant transformation were tested. Low-copy binary vectors pCB302 (5 kb, [9]) and pBI101 (12 kb, [10]) as well as high-copy binary vector pPZP222 (8.7 kb, [11]) were minipreped and the yields were 2.6 µg for pCB302, 2.4 µg for pBI101 and 4.7 µg for pPZP222 (Table 2). In addition to high DNA yield, silica-mediated miniprep also offers great DNA quality (Table 2). The plasmid DNA prepared herein could be readily digested by restriction enzymes (Figure 2D) or used as PCR template (data not shown). Importantly, the resultant DNA could generally yield sequence read length beyond 700 bp during DNA sequencing analysis (Figure 3), which also reflected the high quality of the DNA template generated by the silica protocol.

We assessed whether plasmid DNA prepared by the silica protocol could be directly used in transient gene expression assays such as particle bombardment [4] and protoplast transfection [5,6]. The key for efficient transient gene expression is high purity DNA at high concentration, which is particularly important for successful PEG-mediated Arabidopsis protoplast transfection [5]. Taking advantage of the heating step during DNA elution, we were able to effectively elute plasmid DNA from silica matrix using only a small volume (e.g., 5 µl) of water thus could maximize the DNA concentration in the eluate. We randomly selected 10 single colonies harboring the 35S-WRKY29g-GFP-MYC plasmid for miniprep. The DNA concentration in the 5  $\mu$ l eluate was ranging from 1.1 to 1.4  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l. It is more difficult to obain the same concentrated DNA eluate when the commercial miniprep kit is used. To evaluate the low DNA transfection limit, we chose the miniprep DNA with the lowest concentration (i.e., 1.1  $\mu g/\mu l$ ) for both types of transient expression assays. Two µg (1.8 µl) of this miniprep DNA was delivered into 7-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings by particle bombardment. Hundreds of cells in the cotyledons were found to express the WRKY29-GFP-MYC protein in the nucleus 12 h post bombardment (Figure 4A). This transformation efficiency is roughly the same as that obained by using equal amount of kit-minipreped DNA in bombardment (data not shown). This silica-minipreped DNA (2.2  $\mu$ g in 2  $\mu$ l) was also used to transfect 4,000 Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts and the expression of the WRKY29-GFP-MYC protein was detected in the nucleus of approximately 70% of the protoplasts 12 h



after PEG-mediated transfection (Figure 4B). This transfection efficiency is comparable to that achieved by using CsCl gradient maxipreped DNA in protoplast transfection [5]. In addition, we also tested the miniprep DNA in transient gene expression using maize mesophyll protoplasts. In this case, plasmid DNA was introduced into maize protoplasts by electroporation, where low quality DNA containing high salt or other impurities would lead to the failure of electroporation [6]. Successful expression of the WRKY29-GFP-MYC protein was observed in the nucleus of numerous maize protoplasts 12 h after 15  $\mu$ g of miniprep DNA was used to transfect 10<sup>6</sup> maize protoplasts by electroporation (Figure 4C). These data suggested that the miniprep DNA purified by the silica protocol could be readily used for efficient transient assays with no need for further purification or concentration.

## The silica method for plasmid miniprep from A. tumefaciens

Plasmid miniprep from 2 ml A. tumefaciens cells containing high-copy number binary plasmid VKH-NLS-YFP-GUS [12] was conducted according to Sub-protocol 1. After Alkaline lysis solution (Solution B) was added to the cell resuspension, we lengthened the incubation period to 5 min to improve the cell lysis since Agrobacterium was more resistant to alkaline lysis than E. coli. The DNA yield from A. tumefaciens cells by this protocol was about 400 ng (Table 2), which was sufficient for restriction digestion analysis (Figure 2D, lane D4). Binary plasmids with different sizes or copy numbers had similar yields when minipreped from A. tumefaciens cells (data not shown). Thus, a quick confirmation of the correct construct in Agrobacterium by restriction digestion is feasible using the silica-prepared binary plasmids. The routine re-transformation of the DNA into E. coli for propagation [2] is unnecessary.

### Advantages of the silicon dioxide matrix protocol

The silica matrix-based protocol described here offers two major advantages over other DNA purification methods. The first advantage is its low cost. Silicon dioxide itself is a very cheap chemical. The cost of silica matrix consumed in each DNA miniprep is less than one tenth of a U.S. cent and thus is fairly affordable. All solutions used in this protocol are made from common and inexpensive chemicals except NaI (Sigma, 217638). Even after accommodating the expense of NaI, the total costs for each miniprep and each PCR/gel purification are 0.15 and 0.05 U.S. dollar, respectively. A second advantage of the silica protocol is its versatility. Instead of purchasing different commercial kits to meet different DNA purification needs, one could utilize the same DNA binding matrix together with Solution A-G (Table 1) for all the DNA purification work in plant research. Besides silicon dioxide, only Solutions D and E are used by all the DNA extraction applications. The former facilitates DNA binding to silica matrix and the latter washes away nonspecifically bound impurities. Solution A is shared by plasmid miniprep and plant genomic DNA extraction, where the inclusion of RNase A removes RNA contamination from DNA products.

In addition, there are a few minor advantages in using silicon dioxide matrix instead of the column, the latter

being used in most of the commercial DNA purification kits. First, the amount of silicon dioxide matrix used in each DNA purification could be flexible depending on the anticipated DNA amount in the material. Second, the silica matrix could be readily separated from the supernatant by a quick centrifugation in less than 10 sec. Third, avoiding liquid retention by the column matrix, DNA binding to the silicon dioxide matrix could be efficiently eluted with a very small volume (e.g.,  $5 \mu$ ) of water. Fourth, the matrix resuspension could be easily heated to facilitate DNA elution, whereas the column is inconvenient for heating treatment. Therefore, this silica protocol not only allows scarce and precious DNA fragments to be effectively recovered in a small volume of water thus maintaining an optimal concentration for DNA ligation, but also allows the miniprep DNA to be eluted from the silicon dioxide matrix in sufficiently high concentrations for efficient protoplast transfection.

### Conclusion

The silicon dioxide matrix-based DNA purification protocol presented here allows fast, simple and economical purification of high quality DNA for multiple purposes in plant research. No specialized device such as column or vacuum apparatus and no additional time-consuming and yield-reducing precipitation steps are required. In principle, we envisage that the DNA preparation by the silica protocol could be easily scaled up to generate a large amount of pure DNA and is promising for highthoughput DNA purification applications.

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Andreas Nebenfüh (University of Tennessee) for the generous gifts of pAN-GFP and pPZP222 vectors. This work was sponsored by NIH R01 grants GM070567 and GM060493 to J.S.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02114-2790, USA.

### Authors' contributions

JFL designed the experiments. JFL and LL performed the experiments. JFL and JS wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Received: 4 January 2010

Accepted: 14 January 2010 Published: 14 January 2010

#### References

- Chen X, Ding X, Song WY: Isolation of plasmid DNA rescued from single colonies of Agrobacterium tumefaciens by means of rolling circle amplification. *Plant Mol Biol Rep* 2003, 21:411-415.
- Wise AA, Liu Z, Binns AN: Nucleic acid extraction from Agrobacterium strains. Methods Mol Biol 2006, 343:67-76.

- Boom R, Sol CJ, Salimans MM, Jansen CL, Wertheim-van Dillen PM, Noordaa van der J: Rapid and simple method for purification of nucleic acids. J Clin Microbiol 1990, 28:495-503.
- Li JF, Nebenfüh AN: Organelle targeting of myosin XI is mediated by two globular tail subdomains with separate cargo binding sites. J Biol Chem 2007, 282:20593-20602.
- Yoo SD, Cho YH, Sheen J: Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. Nat Protoc 2007, 2:1565-1572.
- Sheen J: Molecular mechanisms underlying the differential expression of maize pyruvate, orthophosphate dikinase genes. *Plant cell* 1991, 3:225-245.
- Boyle JS, Lew AM: An inexpensive alternative to glassmilk for DNA purification. *Trends Genet* 1995, 11(8).
- Michiels A, Ende Van Den W, Tucker M, Van Riet L, Van Laere A: Extraction of high-quality genomic DNA from latex-containing plants. *Anal Biochem* 2003, 315:85-89.
- Xiang CB, Han P, Lutziger I, Wang K, Oliver DJ: A mini binary vector series for plant transformation. *Plant Mol Biol* 1999, 40:711-717.
- 10. Hellens R, Mullineaux P: A guide to Agrobacterium binary Ti vectors. *Plant* Mol Biol 2000, 5:446-451.
- Hajdukiewicz P, Svab Z, Maliga P: The small, versatile pPZP family of *Agrobacterium* binary vectors for plant transformation. *Plant Mol Biol* 1994, 25:989-994.
- Li JF, Park E, von Arnim AG, Nebenfüh AN: The FAST technique: a simplified Agrobacterium-based transformation method for transient gene expression analysis in seedlings of Arabidopsis and other plant species. Plant Methods 2009, 5:6.

#### doi:10.1186/1746-4811-6-1

**Cite this article as:** Li *et al.*: Protocol: a rapid and economical procedure for purification of plasmid or plant DNA with diverse applications in plant biology. *Plant Methods* 2010 **6**:1.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

